

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,704	01/21/2004	Tingkai Li	SLA 0845	3680
7.	590 12/20/2004		EXAMINER	
	ma, Patent Counsel	ERDEM, FAZLI		
Sharp Laboratories of America, Inc. 5750 NW Pacific Rim Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Camas, WA	98607		2826	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/761,704	LI ET AL.	LI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u></u>		Fazli Erdem	2826	l land			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the cover sh	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exterent after - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory into the property of the property will, but the property will, but the property of the property	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum y period will apply and will expire SIX (it) y statute, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time by MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			,				
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>21 January 2004</i> .					
		This action is non-final.					
3)□	·						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,9,10,13,14,21 and 22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3-8,11,12,15-20 and 23 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in al	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Enter the attached detailed Office action for the action for the attached detailed Office action for the action for the attached detailed Office action for the action for the action for the attached detailed Office action for the	uments have been received uments have been received e prionty documents have l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment —	•						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) Inter	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ · No(s)/Mail Date		e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 3-8, 11, 12, 15-20 and 23 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 13, 14, 21 and 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (6,621,114) in view of Currie et al. (2003/0234439) further in view of Sohn et al. (6,753,230).

Regarding Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 13, 14, 21 and 22, Kim et al. disclose MOS transistors with High-K dielectric gate insulator for reducing remote scattering where in Fig. 3, it is disclosed a MOS transistor 200 with source region 109, drain region 112, channel region 201 between the source/drain regions, High-K dielectric layer 208 over channel region 201 and gate electrode 118 overlying the High-K dielectric layer. Kim et al. fail to disclose the required surface channel and the required ultra-shallow channel structures. However, Currie et al. disclose SiGe gate electrodes on SiGe substrate and methods of making the same where in paragraph 30 the required surface channel structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Sohn et al. disclose a method for fabricating

semiconductor device with ultra-shallow super-steep-retrograde epi-channel by decaborane doping where in Fig. 6E the required ultra shallow channel is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required surface channel and the required ultrashallow channel structures in Kim et al. as taught by Currie et al. and Sohn et al. in order to have a semiconductor device with increased performance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2826

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800